Docket No.: LANCELL.002CP1

Customer No.: 20,995

SEP 0 9 1014 E

AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Applicant

Cowing, Carol O.

App. No.

09/809,158

Filed

: March 15, 2001

For

METHOD TO ENHANCE

THE IMMUNOGENICITY OF

AN ANTIGEN

Examiner

Canella, Karen A.

Art Unit

1643

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

September 7, 2005

Date)

Marina L. Gordey, Reg. No. 52,950

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

1201 118000

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment in 10 pages.
- (X) Copy of Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, mailed August 23, 2005.
- (X) The present application qualifies for small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

FEE CALCULATION								
FEE TYPE						FEE CODE	CALCULATION	TOTAL
Total Claims	33	-	57	=	0	2202 (\$25)	0 x 35 =	\$0
Independent Claims	9		9	=	0	2201 (\$100)	0 x 100 =	\$0
Multiple Claim						2203 (\$180)		\$0
uninpre annie							TOTAL FEE DUE	\$0

(X) Return prepaid postcard.

Appl. No.

09/809,158

Filed

March 15, 2001

REMARKS

This amendment addresses the Office Communication mailed August 23, 2005 regarding filing an Amendment on June 8, 2005 which did not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because claim 23 contained an inaccuracy with respect to the lined out text (1, rather than 19), and Claim 52 was listed as "previously presented" but in fact was amended because previous Claim 52 recited "of a lipophilic" in line 3 rather than "a lipophilic". The Applicant has now corrected claim 23 as to what is being amended, and Claim 52 is now identified as "currently amended". According to MPEP 714.03, "Applicant's reply to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment should consist of a corrected version of only that portion of the amendment which previously failed to comply with 37 CFR 1.121. It is not necessary for applicant to resubmit the entire amendment document." Therefore, the present reply reproduces only the Claims pages of the previous Amendment filed September 16, 2004, with the corrections described above.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has addressed all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of the pending claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate the same with the undersigned.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: September 7, 2005

By:

Marina L. Gordey

Registration No. 52,950

Agent of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(805) 547-5580

1895980 082905